

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,320	SAIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey S. Eberhard, Ph.D.	1615	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey S. Eberhard, Ph.D.

(2) Sean Passino.

Status of Application: Prosecution closed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 January 2008

Time: approximately 1 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

26-28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendment of claims 26-28 per the attached Examiner's Amendment approved by Applicant's Attorney of Record.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)